

Lakes Community High School
CHSD 117
Lake Villa, ILLINOIS



ILLINOIS
SCHOOL
REPORT
CARD

GRADES : 9 10 11 12

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

Starting in 2009, charter school information is included in district statistics.

STUDENTS

RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION													
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Low- Income Rate	Limited- English- Proficient Rate	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
School	85.9	2.3	5.5	2.3	0.4	3.5	4.4	0.1	1.3	0.3	5.9	94.2	1,355
District	86.1	2.1	6.2	2.4	0.3	2.9	8.7	0.1	1.3	0.7	6.6	94.1	2,759
State	53.3	19.1	20.8	4.1	0.2	2.5	42.9	8.0	3.5	3.7	13.5	93.7	2,070,125

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

Mobility rate is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

Chronic truants are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL CONTACT*		STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS			
	Percent	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
School	99.0	--	--	--	--
District	99.1		16.8	11.4	118.3
State	96.7		18.0	13.3	201.8

* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)										
Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12
School										20.0
District										20.1
State										19.2


TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)								
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
District	96.0	2.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	42.3	57.7	199
State	85.1	8.3	5.0	1.4	0.2	22.9	77.1	133,017

TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)					
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
School	--	--	--	1.2	0.0
District	10.3	40.4	59.6	0.5	0.0
State	12.5	44.1	55.8	0.6	1.2

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.


SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES (Full-Time Equivalents)



Salaries and counts of staff are summed across a district based on the percentage of time that each individual is employed as a teacher or an administrator and may or may not reflect the actual paid salaries for the district.

EXPENDITURE BY FUNCTION 2007-08 (Percentages)




REVENUE BY SOURCE 2007-08			
	District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$31,939,937	72.5	58.7
Other Local Funding	\$3,839,282	8.7	6.3
General State Aid	\$1,885,128	4.3	18.6
Other State Funding	\$5,615,834	12.8	9.0
Federal Funding	\$749,434	1.7	7.4
TOTAL	\$44,029,615		

EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2007-08			
	District	District %	State %
Education	\$30,250,232	64.3	71.5
Operations & Maintenance	\$9,196,494	19.6	8.6
Transportation	\$2,657,754	5.7	3.9
Bond and Interest	\$4,394,868	9.3	6.3
Rent	\$0	0.0	0.0
Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$524,982	1.1	1.8
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0	0.9
Site & Construction/ Capital Improvement	\$0	0.0	6.8
TOTAL	\$47,024,330		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2006 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2006 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2007-08 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2007-08 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$537,371	2.36	\$8,646	\$16,035
State	**	**	\$6,103	\$10,417

** Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.
Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.
Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.
Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.
Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE



The number and percent of students taking the ACT are no longer reported since virtually every eleventh grade student takes the ACT as part of the PSAE.



* Includes graduating students' most recent ACT Assessment scores from an ACT national test date or PSAE testing. Excludes the scores of students who took the test with special accommodations. State averages for ACT data are based on regular public schools and do not include private and special purpose schools.

HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATE													
	Gender			Race / Ethnicity						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	95.3	97.4	93.3	95.8	100.0	93.3	100.0		77.8			77.4	85.7
District	96.7	97.6	95.8	97.1	100.0	92.3	100.0		88.2			90.0	91.1
State	87.1	84.5	89.7	92.3	76.7	76.8	94.0		88.9			78.1	76.6

OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They represent your school's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.


The 2007-08 school year was the first time that Limited English Proficient (LEP) students took the ISAT or PSAE (with accommodations) instead of the IMAGE test. Therefore, any comparisons with prior year's achievement levels for LEP students should be made with appropriate caution.



PSAE PERFORMANCE


These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the average scores and also the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding standards in reading, mathematics, and science on PSAE.

PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE) - Average Scores



PSAE scores range from 120 to 200.

PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE) - Percents Meeting or Exceeding Standards



Number of students in this school with PSAE scores in 2009: 347

PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING AND MATHEMATICS														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	357	176	181	300	15	16	9	3	14	0	0	48	20
	Reading Mathematics	0.8 0.8	0.6 0.6	1.1 1.1	1.0 1.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0			0.00 0.00			0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
District	*Enrollment	660	325	335	567	23	33	16	3	18	0	0	96	59
	Reading Mathematics	0.5 0.5	0.3 0.3	0.6 0.6	0.5 0.5	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0			0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
State	*Enrollment	1,073,392	548,452	524,651	574,717	203,366	215,934	43,962	1,676	32,799	72,638	258	152,593	473,876
	Reading Mathematics	0.3 0.3	0.3 0.3	0.2 0.2	0.1 0.1	0.6 0.6	0.3 0.3	0.1 0.1	0.2 0.2	0.3 0.3	0.2 0.2	0.8 0.8	0.4 0.4	0.4 0.4

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR SCIENCE ONLY														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	357	176	181	300	15	16	9	3	14	0	0	48	20
	Science	0.8	0.6	1.1	1.0	0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	660	325	335	567	23	33	16	3	18	0	0	96	59
	Science	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0
State	*Enrollment	448,699	228,285	220,300	248,119	82,562	85,745	18,385	751	12,734	25,035	120	62,799	186,799
	Science	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.2	1.2	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.8	0.8

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.

Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.

Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.

Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

Grade 11**Grade 11 - All**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	5.5	25.9	53.0	15.6	7.5	29.4	51.6	11.5	5.8	31.7	49.9	12.7
District	6.3	30.2	51.5	11.9	9.0	35.4	45.8	9.8	7.0	33.7	49.0	10.4
State	8.5	34.6	45.0	11.9	11.0	37.4	42.2	9.4	8.9	40.7	40.3	10.2

Grade 11 - Gender

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	School	5.8	28.5	51.7	14.0	8.7	24.4	53.5	13.4	4.7	27.3	50.0	18.0
	District	7.5	32.6	49.5	10.3	9.4	31.3	48.3	11.0	6.9	29.7	49.7	13.8
	State	10.6	34.8	42.8	11.8	11.2	34.5	42.7	11.6	9.2	36.8	40.8	13.2
Female	School	5.1	23.4	54.3	17.1	6.3	34.3	49.7	9.7	6.9	36.0	49.7	7.4
	District	5.2	27.8	53.5	13.5	8.6	39.4	43.4	8.6	7.0	37.6	48.3	7.0
	State	6.3	34.5	47.1	12.1	10.8	40.2	41.8	7.3	8.5	44.4	39.8	7.2

Grade 11 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	School	3.4	25.5	53.8	17.2	4.8	28.6	54.1	12.4	3.8	28.3	53.4	14.5
	District	4.9	29.1	53.1	13.0	7.4	34.5	47.8	10.3	5.2	31.5	51.9	11.4
	State	5.0	26.5	52.5	15.9	5.9	30.6	51.0	12.4	4.5	32.1	49.5	13.9
Black	School	60.0	6.7	33.3	0.0	60.0	6.7	26.7	6.7	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
	District	54.5	18.2	27.3	0.0	50.0	22.7	22.7	4.5	45.5	40.9	13.6	0.0
	State	16.9	55.1	26.0	2.0	26.9	54.5	18.0	0.6	21.3	61.4	16.5	0.7
Hispanic	School	0.0	56.3	43.8	0.0	12.5	75.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	81.3	18.8	0.0
	District	6.1	48.5	45.5	0.0	12.1	63.6	21.2	3.0	15.2	54.5	27.3	3.0
	State	14.7	48.9	32.9	3.6	17.1	51.3	29.7	1.9	15.1	57.3	25.6	2.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	School												
	District	0.0	50.0	37.5	12.5	6.3	25.0	56.3	12.5	0.0	37.5	62.5	0.0
	State	5.0	26.3	48.7	20.0	3.7	20.5	50.1	25.7	3.9	28.4	47.1	20.6
Native American	School												
	District												
	State	6.8	32.7	50.2	10.4	9.6	42.6	39.4	8.4	8.0	39.4	42.6	10.0
Multiracial/Ethnic	School	0.0	21.4	64.3	14.3	0.0	42.9	50.0	7.1	0.0	57.1	28.6	14.3
	District	0.0	33.3	50.0	16.7	0.0	44.4	44.4	11.1	5.6	44.4	33.3	16.7
	State	6.3	32.5	47.6	13.6	9.6	38.5	43.5	8.5	7.4	39.8	43.2	9.6

Grade 11 - Students with Disabilities

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP	School	28.6	52.4	11.9	7.1	35.7	45.2	19.0	0.0	31.0	50.0	16.7	2.4
	District	29.5	51.1	15.9	3.4	34.1	51.1	13.6	1.1	30.3	51.7	16.9	1.1
	State	40.3	42.8	14.7	2.1	46.7	41.2	10.9	1.2	40.0	46.1	12.1	1.8
Non-IEP	School	2.3	22.3	58.7	16.7	3.6	27.2	56.1	13.1	2.3	29.2	54.4	14.1
	District	2.7	26.9	57.2	13.3	5.0	33.0	50.9	11.1	3.2	30.8	54.1	11.8
	State	4.5	33.6	48.7	13.1	6.6	36.9	46.1	10.4	5.0	40.0	43.8	11.2

Grade 11 - Economically Disadvantaged

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	School	45.0	30.0	20.0	5.0	45.0	45.0	10.0	0.0	45.0	45.0	10.0	0.0
	District	27.6	37.9	31.0	3.4	24.1	53.4	20.7	1.7	25.9	44.8	29.3	0.0
	State	16.3	50.4	30.2	3.0	21.6	52.1	24.8	1.5	18.0	58.2	22.1	1.7
Not Eligible	School	3.1	25.7	55.0	16.2	5.2	28.4	54.1	12.2	3.4	30.9	52.3	13.5
	District	4.3	29.4	53.6	12.8	7.5	33.7	48.3	10.5	5.1	32.6	50.9	11.4
	State	4.8	27.3	51.8	16.0	6.1	30.5	50.3	13.1	4.6	32.6	48.7	14.1

2009 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) Status Report

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	No	Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?	No
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	No	2009-10 Federal Improvement Status	
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	No	2009-10 State Improvement Status	

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		70.0			70.0			90.0		78.0	
All	99.2	Yes	99.2	Yes	69.6		Yes	64.5		No			95.3	Yes
White	99.0	Yes	99.0	Yes	71.3		Yes	67.5		Yes				
Black														
Hispanic														
Asian/Pacific Islander														
Native American														
Multiracial /Ethnic														
LEP														
Students with Disabilities	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	31.1		No	28.9		No				
Economically Disadvantaged														

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
2. At least 70.0% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 70.0% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. ***
3. For schools not making AYP solely because the IEP group fails to have 70.0% meeting/exceeding standards, 14% may be added to this variable in accordance with the federal 2% flexibility provision.
4. At least 90% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 78% graduation rate for high schools.

* Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2008.

** Safe Harbor Targets of 70.0% or above are not printed.

*** Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

LCHS School Improvement Plan 2009-2012

The Lakes Eagles Learning Community is comprised of staff, students and their families, and all members of the surrounding communities. It serves to provide a research-based framework for the continuous improvement of teaching and learning in order to inspire all participants to achieve their maximum potential.



The Lakes Eagles Learning Community will strive to provide RIGOR in every aspect of the experience at Lakes Community High School

What is rigor?

- High expectations from all learning community stakeholders
- Effort from all learning community stakeholders
- Attention to accuracy and detail
- Quality, not quantity
- Challenging
- Higher quality products of learning
- Differentiation for student success
- Varied course selection

Why do we need rigor?

- Better preparation for real world challenges and needs
- Inspire students to achieve maximum potential
- Instill a desire for lifelong learning
- A democratic society requires an educated public

The Eagle Way

- Students will participate in common formative assessments, and tested in a variety of test formats which are aligned with curriculum and instruction.
- In keeping with CRISS philosophy and principles, students will become more independent learners.
- Students will write for practical, real-world experience in ALL content areas.

Instruction and Assessment

- Respect is the key to success at LCCHS.
 - Dress will be non-distracting and appropriate for the learning environment at LCCHS.
 - Students will listen to and cooperate with all staff members of LCCHS.
 - No one will interfere with the learning process at LCCHS
- Students and staff will participate in a positive learning environment***

- Teachers and students will use technology as a tool for teaching and learning.
- Students will master content and skill as outlined in the curriculum maps.

Technology and Curriculum

Response to Intervention Program

Our objective of the RTI committee at Lakes Community High School was to create a program of intervention using the three tier model. The fundamentals of the program are as follows:

- Follow a specific three tier process
- Have a team response and use the problem solving approach for all levels
- Update and use data on a scheduled basis
- Communication between the members needs to be consistent and frequent

The LCCHS learning community will insure that we identify and that we have an appropriate response to any student who may be at risk of not graduating.